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Definitions

**Key Performance Indicator**: A KPIs is a number for indicating performance of some aspect of a business.

**Amazon Web Services**: A cloud services provided by Amazon.

**Function as a Service**: A cloud service technology for executing programs without a server.
Abstract

This report describes a generalized MapReduce-application for calculating KPIs based on graph representations of business structures. The graphs used by this application are created by a secondary application which extracts all relevant data for the calculating KPIs from multiple undefined data sources. For this purpose, the graph creation application only requires meta information defining the structure of the business in question. By making use of the Actor model, the generalized MapReduce-application is capable of parallelizing a large portion of the required steps in calculation KPIs, which results in a great performance increase compared to sequential processing of the data.
Chapter 1

Introduction

This chapter briefly introduces the company traperto and the software project which will be executed in conjunction with this thesis.

1.1 Company description

traperto is a software company based in Kleve which develops web applications for large companies like Vodafone, unitymedia, OBI and Deichmann. The company’s main product is called trapero campus which customers use to manage and track the training of their employees. The application allows companies to manage online courses, seminars, and other forms of training. Employees can register their attendance through the software and track their progress through a dashboard. In addition to the Learning Management Software, traperto also offers KPI Cockpit which provides customers Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for all levels of their organizational hierarchy. This tool gives customers an overview over the performance of their business and allows them to detect problematic developments in their organization. In total, nine people work at traperto of which six are software developers. The CEO of the company and the head of marketing and sales are responsible for consulting customers and project management.

1.2 What is a Key Performance Indicator

A KPI is a number which indicates the performance of some business aspect. An example for a KPI is Number of units sold, which describes how often a product was sold. By comparing this KPI for different products, a business can make informed decisions about its product portfolio.

1.3 Problem Statement

The project is about improving the back-end of the KPI Cockpit which faces two issues traperto wants to solve: Firstly, large parts of the software need to be re-implemented in order to be usable for a new customer, because the organizational structures, the provided data, and the KPIs to be calculated are always unique. Secondly, the application performs poorly because large quantities of data need to be handled and KPIs need to be calculated for all nodes within complex organizational structures.
Chapter 2

Background

This chapter explains the background of the project. First, a problem definition is given which points out the high level requirements of the KPI Cockpit and the issues of the current implementations of the software. Due to the abstract nature of the application, the domain model is defined in mathematical terms. In order to make the structures to be represented more comprehensible, an example of a business structure to be modeled is given. Additionally, this chapter also describes how the structure of the domain model can be used to reach the desired performance improvements of the KPI Cockpit.

2.1 Problem description

The KPI tool is an application which aims to provide users easy access to information about how their business is performing with data they provide on at least a daily basis.

2.1.1 KPI for all levels of a business

Figure 2.1: Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for all levels of the organizational structure
The main feature of the KPI-Cockpit is to provide quick access to highly generalized but also very specific business KPIs. Figure 2.1 shows an example of a customer who uses the application to show three KPIs (indicated by red, blue and green). KPIs of a certain node depend on the KPIs of its children: Kleve’s score for KPI1 depends on the Branch nodes Saalweg 12 and Hagsche Str. 13. NRW’s KPIs depend on its City nodes, Europe West depends on its Country nodes and global KPIs depend on all Region nodes of the organizational structure.

2.1.2 Support for any business structure

Currently, traperto needs to re-develop large portion of the software in order to provide it to a new customer. In order to reduce the amount of effort required for adapting the KPI Cockpit for a new customer, the application must be capable of representing any type of organizational structure. These structures usually consist of multiple interconnected business dimensions like a hierarchy of managers, regions of the world the business is active in and sales channels. Figure 2.2 show an example of such an organizational structure which consist of a sales channels and a managers responsible for nodes within the sales channels. The KPI Cockpit needs to calculated KPIs for each vertex in this graph. In most cases, the represented structure form trees. However, there a relationships within a business in which an element has two ore more parents parents. An example of such a relationship can be seen in Figure 2.2: The vertex marked with the gray outline has two parents, because the company represented by this vertex is a chain active in direct retail and also has a web-shop. Another example of such a relationship might be a worker who is supervised by two or more managers. Relationships like this are unusual but need to be supported in order to assure the flexibility of the application. For this reason, the business layers to be modeled are graphs and not trees. A more detailed example of a business structure modeled in the application can be found in section 2.2. Chapter 3 defines the requirements of the data layer.

2.1.3 Performance issues

traperto’s customers are already using older version of the KPI Cockpit which suffer from performance issues. Loading a page of the web front-end of the application can take up to 20 seconds. In order to improve the usability of the KPI Cockpit, loading times must be reduced.
2.1.4 Pre-Calculated Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

In order to prevent the performance issues from affecting the user experience, traperto has chosen to pre-calculate all KPIs visible in some versions of the application. While this reduces loading times to a minimum, it also limits the flexibility of the KPI Cockpit: KPIs can not be calculated for a set of entities defined by a user defined filter. This limits the quality of the information provided through the application, because users can not specify to for which parts of the business they want to view KPIs (e.g. Calculating KPIs for all shops which employ less than four workers). Due to performance issues, the current versions of the KPI Cockpit can not offer this functionality. If the new system does not face the same issues and achieves acceptable loading times for calculation KPIs on demand, filtering elements of the business for specific KPIs becomes an option.

2.1.5 Simple maintenance and local use for development

The application needs to be easy to set up and maintain, because of the limited time available for infrastructure maintenance: The employee responsible for this task is also the lead back-end developer and also involved in project management. In order

2.1.6 Structure of the provided data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>KPI</th>
<th>Static data*</th>
<th>Date n-1</th>
<th>Date n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Example of a business report

At the core of the data model lie the business reports which are provided on a daily basis and contain the values which will be used to calculate KPIs. Table 2.1 gives an example of a business report containing data required by the KPI-cockpit.

- **id** The identification of the business entity the values are for.
- **KPI** The identification of the KPI to be calculated.
- **Static data** Static data providing additional information for KPIs. (e.g expected sales for calculating the KPI Number of Units solds).
- **Dates** Values required for the calculation of the KPI.

2.2 Representing the structure of a business

This section describes an example of a business structure to be modeled in the KPI Cockpit. Due to the abstract nature of the KPI Cockpit, this model is not the domain model of the application but rather an example of a business structure which needs to be represented. Additionally, the calculation of KPIs is explained within the discussed model. The actual domain model is a multilayer directed acyclic graph which defines the properties of the model in a mathematical way. This graph and its properties are described in chapter 3.

2.2.1 Modeling a chain for KPI calculation

This subsection describes how a chain, which consist of multiple branch stores, is modeled in the KPI Cockpit and how KPIs are calculated for it.
Representing the chain

![Diagram showing a chain with vertices A, B, and C and KPI values 16, 20, and 11.](image)

Figure 2.3: Calculating KPIs from children.

The diagram Figure 2.3 shows how a chain part of the sales channel hierarchy of a corporation to be represented in the KPI Cockpit. The chain consists of multiple branch stores which are represented by the vertices at the bottom of Figure 2.3. The arrows pointing from the chain vertex to the branch vertices represent the relationship between the chain and its branch stores: Stores A, B, C are part of the chain. The part of relationship between any two vertices is the essential property of the data model.

Calculating KPIs for the chain

The relationships between entities defines how their KPIs are calculated. In order to calculate the KPI Number of units sold for the branch, the application needs to know the values for that KPI for the branch stores \{A, B, C\} which are 16, 20 and 11. In this example, these values of the chain are provided in the business report provided to the KPI Cockpit. Once these values are available, X can be calculated for the chain which is the sum of X of \{A, B, C\} which is 47. In general, the KPI X of any vertex within the data layer can only be calculated if X is known for all its child vertices. In other words, the part of relationship describing the relationship from a parent vertex to a child vertex is inversed for the flow of information.

2.2.2 Modeling the entire sales channel hierarchy for KPI calculation

Obviously, large corporation have larger and more complex sales channels which need to be modeled in the KPI Cockpit. However, if the data model is structured in such a way that for each element the elements which it is part of can be deduced, the data model works the same as the example of the single chain. The properties of the required structure are defined in section 3.2.
Modeling the sales channel

![Figure 2.4: Calculating KPIs from children.](image)

Figure 2.4 visualizes the representation of the sales channel hierarchy of a business structure. This hierarchy splits up the sales channel in directed retail (left half of the diagram) and e-retail through third party web-shops (right half of the diagram). The direct retail channel consists of three chains, of which one is the chain modeled in the previous subsubsection (blue sub-graph). e-retail consists of five web-shops which sell products of the business. The source vertex on top represents the entire sales channel.

Calculating KPIs for the sales channel

Since the sales channel follows the logic of the chain (see 2.2.1), KPIs can be calculated in the same way for each vertex in the sales channel: In order to calculate the KPI *Number of units sold* for the root vertex \(A\), the KPI needs to be known for the vertex representing the direct retail channel \(B\) and the e-retail vertex \(C\). In order to calculate the KPI for direct retail, the KPI of the chains need to be known \(D, E, F\). In order to calculate the KPI of the e-retail vertex, the KPI of the web-shops \(G, H, I, J, K\) needs to be known and so on. When the values for all children and children’s children are available, the KPI can be calculated for the entire sales channel. As in the chain example, the values for the lowest vertices within Figure 2.2.1 are provided in the business reports.

2.2.3 Adding managers to the data layer

Since trapertos’ customers are large corporations, a single layer representing a sales channel will not be sufficient for modeling an entire business structure. For example, the company might be interested in KPIs for individual manager responsible for certain parts of the sales channel. This subsection describes how the layer of managers can be connected to the sales channel layer. With the connection to the sales channels, KPIs can be calculated for managers. In most cases, the graph modeling the customers business structure will consist of multiple layers in order to allow users to show how different aspect of their business affect their performance.
Modeling multiple business layers

Figure 2.5: Multilayer graph representing a domain model with two layers.

Figure 2.6: Multilayer graph representing a domain model with interconnected layers.

Figure 2.5 shows two hierarchies displayed in two layers or dimensions. Two layers on top represent the sales channels discussed in the previous subsubsection, the layer below represents a hierarchy of managers. The hierarchy of manager shows that the structure to be represented are not trees but graphs in which any vertex may have more than one parent. In this case, a vertex connected to two parents means that the represented manager has two supervisors. Figure 2.6 shows the same two business dimensions which have been connected by an interlayer graph connection vertices of the manager dimension to the vertices of the sales channel (The exact properties of the interlayer connections are defined in section 3.4.2). In the context of this domain model, a connection between a manager vertex and a vertex of the sales channel means: The manager is responsible for the sub-graph of the sales hierarchy below the vertex he/she connected to. For example, the manager connected to the chain (blue in layer on top) is responsible for the chain and its branch stores.

2.2.4 Calculating KPIs through multiple business layers

By creating connections between a the managers and the sales channel hierarchy, paths towards vertices connected to data from a business report are established. Therefore, KPIs can be calculated for managers. In order to calculate KPI X for the manager vertex connected to the sales channel dimension, the values of the connected sales channel vertices need to be known. For example, the manager in question is responsible for the previously discussed chain (blue tree in Figure 2.6). X needs to be known for this vertex in the sales channel (and the two other subgraphs of the sales channel the manager is connected to) before X can be calculated for the manager.
2.3 Solving the performance issues

The current implementations of the KPI Cockpit suffer from performance issues, because not all processes executed on the data layer are run sequentially. The reason for this is the use of trapertos’ default technologies PHP and MySQL in the application, which have poor support for concurrency. This section describes some core ideas of the application which will address this problem.

![Figure 2.7: Diagram showing a data structure to be processed.](image1)

![Figure 2.8: Diagram showing the relational structures of the current version of the application.](image2)

2.3.1 Parallelizing calculations

Figure 2.7 shows a typical structure for which the application needs to calculate KPIs. In this example, the application needs to calculate a KPI for the vertex on top. The current version reduces the complexity of the queries to find all values required for this calculation by linking the vertex on top to all vertexes of interest for the calculation (see Figure 2.8). This reduces the amount of processing required, because the data which needs to be processed does not need to be found. However, this also removes the ability to calculate more specific KPIs, because the data used for calculating is static. Additionally, the process of extracting the data is still a sequential process, because the database does not parallelize the queries involved in executing the calculation. Since multiple thousands sets of data are required for the calculation, this process takes an unacceptable amount of time: In one version of the KPI Cockpit, users need to wait upwards of 20 seconds until the calculation is finished, in another version traperto pre-calculates all values and trades in the flexibility of the application. In order to improve the performance of the data collection process, the business structures will be created as graphs which can be traversed with a DFS-algorithm, which can be parallelized at each recursion.
Chapter 3

Mathematical definition of the data layer

Since the intention of the KPI Cockpit is to work for any customer, it can not be designed around a static domain model which represents the exact properties of a specific business structure. Instead, this section defines the properties of a graph which aims to provided a generalized data structure in which can represent any business structure.

3.1 Representing the relationship between two elements of a business structure

![Figure 3.1: A undirected graph](image1)
![Figure 3.2: A bidirectional graph](image2)
![Figure 3.3: A directional graph](image3)

In order to capture the properties of the relationship between any two elements of a business structure, the graph representing their relationship needs to be directed: The graph $G = (V, E), V = \{A, B\}, E = \{\{A, B\}\}$ can be described as a undirected graph (Figure 3.1) or as bidirectional graph (Figure 3.2), because $E$ is a set of unordered pairs.

$$\{u, v\} \in E \implies \{v, u\} \in E$$

since $\{u, v\} = \{v, u\} \mid (u, v) \in V$. Therefor the direction of the relationship between $A$ and $B$ in the graphs Figure 3.1 can only be deduced from the context of the two vertecies (For example, if it is known that $A$ is a supervisor and $B$ is a supervised). Since the KPI-Cockpit needs to be as abstract as possible in order to assure its capability to represent any business structure, making the data-layer context specific is not an option. If the graph $G' = (V, E')$ is directed, meaning that $E'$ is a set of ordered pairs

$$E' = V \times V, (\alpha, \beta) \notin E' \mid \alpha = \beta$$

, the direction of the relation can be deduced from the ordering of vertecies within an edge pair: The edge $E_1 = (A, B)$ defines that the relationship points from $A$ to $B$, the edge $E_2 = (B, A)$ defines that the relationship points from $B$ to $A$. 
3.2 Representing a layer of the business structure

The direction of an edge in the graph $G$ modeling the relationship from vertex $A$ to vertex $B$ indicates that $B$ is part of $A$. In order to calculate KPIs within complex structures which consist of more than two vertices, the KPI Cockpit needs to be able to deduce the part of relationship for all vertices of a directed graph $G_A = (V_A, E_A)$. $A$ is a layer of the business structure (e.g. sales-channels or managers). $V_A$ is a set of vertices representing the entities within layer $A$ and $E_A = \{V_A \times V_A \mid (\alpha, \beta) \notin E_A \alpha = \beta\}$ describe the edges between the vertices $V_A$. Using topological sorting, the part of relationship can be deduced for all vertices within $G_A$. The algorithm produces a linear ordering of vertices of $G_A$ where a vertex $u$ appears before a vertex $v$ if $(u, v) \in E_A$. Since topological sorting is only possible in directed graphs containing no cycles, the graph modeling a layer of a business structure must not contain any cycles:

$$\exists P_{uv} = u_1, u_2, \ldots, v \implies \nexists P_{vu} = v_1, v_2, \ldots, u$$

![Figure 3.4](image_url)

Figure 3.4: Calculating KPIs from children.

Topological sorting of the directed graph $G$ displayed in Figure 3.4 produces the order $U, V, W, X, Y$. This is the order in which KPIs-calculation must be executed: Since vertices $v$ and $w$ are part of vertex $u$, the KPIs of $v$ and $w$ must be known before the KPIs of $u$ can be calculated. The KPIs for $v$ are available from data provided in a business report, $w$ is calculated from $x$ and $y$ (The algorithm can be found in A.2).
3.3 Connecting data to the business

Connecting the data from the business reports to a hierarchy enables the KPI Cockpit the calculation of KPIs for all vertices within the organizational layer the data is connected to. However, the data must not be connected a vertex $v$ of layer $L$ where the outdegree $d^+_L > 0$. In Figure 3.6, data is provided for vertices of the organizational layer on level 2 which have children ($d^+_L > 0$). There is no information which would allow the application to deduce KPIs from these vertices to the vertices of level 3.

3.4 Representing multiple business layers

In many cases a single hierarchy is not sufficient in order to represent an entire organizational structure. For example a large corporation will have more complexity than a singular hierarchy of sales channels split up into retailers and web-shops. The business structures of the companies currently using the KPI Cockpit consist of roughly ten distinct layers (e.g. areas, manager, business segments etc). Since the companies want information about the performance of their business from as many view points as possible, all layers of the business need to be incorporated into the application. This subsection describes a graph which consists of multiple and interconnected single layer graphs as described in section 3.2. This section describes the properties of the layers and the connections between them.
3.4.1 Properties of the multilayer graph

Let $G = (V_G, E_G, L)$ be a multilayered graph where $L$ a set of business layers to be modeled in the KPI Cockpit (De Domenico et al. (2013)). $V$ is the set of all vertices in $G$ which contains all entities of the business which are relevant to the KPI Cockpit. $V_G \subseteq V \times L$ describes the same set of vertices in which each vertex is associated with the layer it is part of. Figure 3.7 shows the different layers and their intralayer graphs. Since each layer $l \in L$ models a separate layer of the business, a vertex can only exists on one layer within the Graph:

$\{(u, L_\alpha) \in V_G\} \implies \{(u, L_\beta)V_G\} | u \in V, \{L_\alpha, L_\beta\} \in L$

Because of the unique association of vertices to layers, a graph $G_D = (V_D, E_D), V_D = (v, d) \in V_G, D \in L$ with the properties in described in subsubsection 3.2 can be established for all layers.

3.4.2 Properties of the interlayer graph

In order to enable the KPI Cockpit to calculate KPIs for all layers of the business, the graphs modeling the disjoint layers of the business structure need to be interconnected. Interconnecting the layers creates paths from vertices which are not part of layers adjacent to data to vertices in layers which are adjacent to data. If there exists a path to any given vertex of the multilayer network to data from business reports, KPIs can be calculated for that vertex. This subsection defines that there can not be loops between any two layers and that there may not be transitive relationships within a graph connecting two layers. Finally, the graph containing all inter-layer graphs is presented as a simple directed graph in which layers and their inter-layer graphs are represented by vertices and edges.
Acyclic interlayer connections

The interlayer graph $G_I(A, B) = (V_{A,B}, E_{AB})$ connects the layers $A, B \in L$ of the business structure where

$$V_A = \left\{ (u, A) \in V_G \mid u \in V, A \in L \right\}$$

$$V_B = \left\{ (v, B) \in V_G \mid v \in V, B \in L \right\}$$

$$V_{A,B} \subset \left\{ V_A \cup V_B \right\}$$

$$E_{AB} \subset \left\{ V_A \times V_B, ((u, A), (v, B)) \in E_{AB} \mid (u, A) \in V_A, (v, B) \in V_B \right\}$$

The graph between any two layers $A$ and $B$ allows each vertex $v \in V_A$ to be connected with any vertex $u \in V_B$. If $A$ is connected to $B$ via an interlayer graph $G_I A, B$, there can not be a interlayer graph $G_I B, A$ connecting layer $B$ to layer $A$. This rule prevents loops between $A$ and $B$, which is important because the edge between any nodes $n$ and $m$ within the graph $G$ implies that $m$ is part of $n$. An edge from $m$ to $n$ would make this relation ambiguous.
Non-transitive relations in inter-layer graphs

\[
G'_{D_B} = (V'_B, E'_B) \mid V'_B \subset V_B, E'_B \subset E_B
\]

\[
\exists (u, a), (v, b) \in E_{AB} \implies \nexists (u, a), (w, b) \in E_{AB} \mid \{ (v, b), (w, b) \} \in V'_B, (u, a) \notin V_A
\]

In order to prevent redundant connections between layers, a vertex \((w, b) \in V_B\) of layer B must not be connected to a vertex \((u, a) \in V_A\) of layer A if and edge connects \((u, a)\) to another vertex \((v, b) \in V_B\) which creates a subgraph \(G'_{D_B}\) of the layer B’s graph \(G_{D_B}\) which both \((v, b)\) and \((w, b)\) are part of. Figure 3.9 visualizes the redundancy of the edge \(((u, a), (w, b))\): The edge \(((u, a), (v, b))\) creates a subgraph which includes \((w, b)\).
3.4.3 Simple directed graph representing layers and their connections

Since vertices can only exist within one layer (see subsection 3.4.1) and acyclic nature of the inter-layer graphs (see subsection 3.4.2), the layers and the edges between them can be interpreted as a single layer directed graph in which each layer is represented by a single vertex and the set of edges between any two layers by a single edge (see Figure 3.10). This reduces the complexity of the defining graphs according to the properties described in this chapter, because the upholdance of the definition can be validated based on the simplified interpretation of the graph.
Chapter 4

Creating graph representations of business structures

The data processing application needs to model the business structures of customers in order to calculate KPIs for all entities within their organizations. Since these companies are often large cooperations consisting of tens of thousands of highly connected entities, manually modeling these structures is not an option. Therefore, the structure of organizations needs to be derived from internal customer data used for business administration. This chapter describes a program which can create a graph representation (see chapter 3) from any file structure which presents data in a logical fashion. For this, the program only requires input contextualizing the data available in the input files. The mathematics involved in this program are closely related to the set and relational-theory of the Relational Model (Codd (1970)). In order to ease the reader into the subject, the first section provides a simple example of a business structure and input data is provided. This section also visualizes the graph created by the application. The following sections describe how set and relational mathematics of the Relational Model are used to create graphs from any logically arranged data structure. Finally, the design and implementation of this program as a Java application is discussed.

4.1 Exemplary business structure, input files and application output

This section briefly describes a simple business structure and three Comma Separated Values (CSV)-files providing data about entities within the modeled organization. This example will be used to explain the program responsible for creating multi-layer directed acyclic graphs (Chapter 3) for arbitrary business structures. However, the reader needs to keep in mind that the application is intended to work with any file and data structure from which specific values can be extracted. The amount of data to be processed of the example is negligible, while the actual files to be imported contain information about thousands of interconnected business entities. Next, three CSV-files are given which form the input data of the application which produces the graph discussed in the third subsection of this section.
4.1.1 Exemplary business structure

Figure 4.1 displays the structure of an imaginary company for which a graph representation needs to be created. The core of the business are shops, which are managed by one or more managers. Managers are responsible for one ore more shop and part of different groups of managers. The shops are separated into different types according to their size. Since the company is active on the global market, each shop is associated with a location consisting of a city, country and a continent. The domain model (Figure 4.1) also visualizes the meta information which needs to be provided to the application: The next subsection gives some exemplary input files for the application, which can only be interpreted if the relationship between different sets of data is provided. For example, the application needs to know that the column ManagerName and ManagerID are part of the domain type Manager.
4 Creating graph representations of business structures

4.1.2 Exemplary input files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ShopID</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>ShopType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>America</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tokio</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>A-5F</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: CSV file $F_1$ displayed as a table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ManagerID</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>ManagerName</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>Meier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>Meier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>Meier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>Jansen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: CSV file $F_2$ displayed as a table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ShopID</th>
<th>ManagerID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3: CSV file $F_3$ displayed as a table.

Blue rows show meta information provided from an external source. Gray rows indicate set identity. Neither are part of the file.

The files $F_1$, $F_2$ and $F_3$ provide structural information about the business. Each of these files is well structured and separate data entries can easily be extracted: Each row in the files provides data about one business entity, $F_1$ contains data about shops. More specifically, the city, country and continent in which it is located are given and the type (size) of each shop is provided. $F_2$ provides data about managers by associating each manager identification number with a name and one ore more groups (The poor normalization of this table is an accurate representation of the actual input files). $F_3$ associates shops to their managers.
4.1.3 Resulting graph

Figure 4.2: Graph extracted from the exemplary input files $F_1$, $F_2$ and $F_3$

Generated with D3js based on the output of the Graph-Extractor. The graph is presented as undirected graph to reduce visual clutter.

Figure 4.2 visualizes the output of the application in form of an actual graph. The application has removed all duplicate information from the input data and replaced it with unique edges. For example, Europe is continent of three shops (1, 5 and 6). This entries are replaced by a single vertex "Europe" by the application and correctly connected to the vertices "England" and "Germany".

4.2 Mathematical definition of the program

This section describes the processes involved in converting multiple input files to a graph representation of a business according to the graph definition described in chapter 3.

4.2.1 Accessing values from data sources

In order to increase or allow the usability of the application implementing this program, the data set contained in the input file are addressed with unique string identifiers in each file. Associating these string identifiers with the appropriate data sets is the first step in making a file readable for the application (See first code snipped, part 1 in Snippet 5). The following sections use the set identifier (e.g. $P_{F_1}$) where necessary and the string identifier (e.g. City) where possible.

4.2.2 Creating a set of property sets from all files

The application needs to import each file from the set of $F$ by producing a set of domain properties $S_F = \{S_x\}$ where each set contains elements of one attribute type (e.g. the name of a shop, the age of manager, a unique identifier of a manager). Since the data from all files needs to be considered, the attributes from all files need to be defined as a single set. There is a property $P$ which defines some aspect of the business structure and is shared by all business entities of the same type\(^1\). The business entities have instances (values) of these properties which can be extracted from the input files in $F$. Since there are multiple properties in a file, there is a set of property accessible in each file $I \in F$:

$$D_I = \{P_{I_1}, \ldots, P_{I_n} | I \in F\}$$

\(^1\)The term Property is equal to the concept of instance variables in object oriented programming: A class defines the the type of an instance variable and each instance of that class may or may not have a value for this attribute.
This set represents raw data which can be extracted from each file. The definitions of $D_{F_1}, D_{F_2}, D_{F_3}$ are provided in their respective tables (see Table 4.1, Table 4.2, Table 4.3, gray rows).

Figure 4.3 visualizes all sets in $D_I$. At this point, no meta information is available to the application and the contents of the input data can not be interpreted. Therefore each line from the input files (Table 4.1, Table 4.2, Table 4.3) is seen as an unique entity.

In order to more information from the input file, projections of the sets in $D_I$ need to be defined:

$$E_A \subset R_A \mid R_A = \prod_{S \in D_A} S, A \in F$$

$E_A$ could be interpreted as the normalization set of $D_A \mid A \in F$, since it essentially defines multiple tables derived from one table. The accuracy in representation and the complexity of the resulting graph depends on the degree of normalization or amount of sub-tables created from $D_I$. The set of all normalization sets will be addressed as $E$ ($E_B \in E \mid B \in F$).

**Defining the normalization set $E$ for the provided example**

Since a graph upholding the properties defined in chapter 3 can be defined and verified based on layers and the connections between them (see subsection 3.4.3), this program requires meta information which defines the layers present in a business structure. Since each vertex is part of a layer, it can be and connected to other vertices based on the connections of the its to other layers. In order to create an accurate representation of the domain model, the seven entity types or layers depicted in Figure 4.1 need to be extracted from the provided input files. The entity types Shop, City, Country, Continent and StoreType are defined in $F_1$ (Table 4.1, Manager and Group are contained in $F_2$ (Table 4.2). Therefore the normalization set for these two files are defined as:

$$E_{F_1} = \{(\text{ShopID}), (\text{City}), (\text{County}), (\text{Continent}), (\text{ShopType})\}$$

$$E_{F_2} = \{(\text{ManagerID}, \text{ManagerName}), (\text{Group})\}$$

$F_3$ does not contain an additional type of the domain level. However, on a mathematical or database level it contains two entities: The entities defined by the set $P_{F_{3,1}}$ ($= \text{The ManagerIDs contained in } F_3$) and the type defined by the set $P_{F_{3,2}}$ ($= \text{The ManagerIDs contained in } F_3$). Therefore the normalization set for $F_3$ is defined as:

$$E_{F_3} = \{(\text{ManagerID}), (\text{ShopID})\}$$
4.2.3 Deriving multiple domain types from $D_I$

Based on the normalization sets, the entities displayed in Figure 4.4 can be extracted. Since entity instances are defined by relations on $D_I$ producing sets, all redundant information is removed from the data. Because of this, only one vertex is created for per entity. Because of this the one vertex is created for the continent "Europe" (see Table 4.1 and one vertex is created for the Manager with ManagerID 22 from $F_2$ (see Table 4.2). At this point, the application creates two vertices for each manager and shop, because there are two separate sets defining instances for both types. This issue will be resolved next.

4.2.4 Joining normalization sets

Depending on the file structures of the $A \in F$ there may be multiple normalization sets in $E$ describing the same entity. In the given example, Shop and Manager are both defined by two sets of properties (Shop in $F_1$ and $F_3$, Manager in $F_2$ and $F_3$). In order to to combine the information of these property sets, they need to naturally joined. Formally, a natural join is defined by:

Prefix $S$ for Shops, Prefix $M$ for Managers
\[ R * S = \{(a, b, c) : R(a, b) \land S(b, c)\} \]

In the given example the set identities are provided through meta information. Since the sets \( P_{F_{11}} \) and \( P_{F_{12}} \) have the same identity, all normalization sets which contain these two set can be joined.

\[
X = (\text{ManagerID, ManagerName}) \mid X \in E_{F_2} \\
Y = (\text{ManagerID}) \mid Y \in E_{F_3} \\
X * Y = \{(\text{ManagerID, MangagerName}) : X \land Y\}
\]

Since the same logic applies to the Shop entity and the relations (ShopID) \( \in P_{F_1} \) and (ShopID) \( \in P_{F_3} \), there is only one set of Manager and Shop displayed in Figure 4.5. At this point, the join operation does not provide any additional information about these two entity types, because the two sets contain the same data and are simply merged. The next subsection describes how join operation are used in order to connect entities.

### 4.2.5 Connecting entity types

At this point, the program has extracted all unique entities from the three input files. However, the resulting graph does not represent the business defined in Figure 4.1, because the connections of the structure contained in the input files are not part of the extracted data. The normalization sets in \( E \) defined in 4.2.2 do not contain information which would allow the program to extract connections between entities. Therefore, the normalization sets will be adapted to contain unique identifiers of the domain types they are connected to. Since the principal is the same for all connections, this subsection will only focus on the relationship locations and shops. Therefor, the normalization set for the data extraction is defined as:

\[ C = \{\{(\text{ShopID, City}), (\text{City, Country}), (\text{Country, Continent}), (\text{Continent})\}\} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ShopID ( P_{F_{11}} )</th>
<th>City ( P_{F_{12}} )</th>
<th>Country ( P_{F_{13}} )</th>
<th>City ( P_{F_{12}} )</th>
<th>Country ( P_{F_{13}} )</th>
<th>Continent ( P_{F_{15}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tokio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4: The relations created from the normalization set \( C \)

![Figure 4.6: Visualization of Continent, Country, City and Shops](image)

Colors indicate domain type. Edges are colored according their source vertex.
The tables in the tables in Table 4.4 show the data extracted when the normalization set \( C \) is used. Each row of in the tables represent one entity of the business structure for which one vertex is created (See Figure 4.6). With the information in these tables, the connections between the entities defined in \( C \) can be recreated by resolving the foreign keys in each relation: The foreign key "Europe" is part of the tuples \((\text{England}, \text{Europe})\) and \((\text{Germany}, \text{Europe})\). These two foreign keys are represented by the two edges \{"Europe, \text{Germany}\}\) and \{"Europe, \text{England}\}\) (see Figure 4.6).

Connecting entity types through join operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ManagerID</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>ManagerName</th>
<th>ShopID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P_{F_1},P_{F_2})</td>
<td>(P_{F_2})</td>
<td>(P_{F_2})</td>
<td>(P_{F_2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>Meier</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>Meier</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>Meier</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>Meier</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5: Excerpt from the joined relation describing managers.

Figure 4.7: Visualization of Managers and the Shops they are responsible for.

Colors indicate domain type. Edges are colored according their source vertex.

The previous subsection described the process of joining normalization sets based on their primary identifiers. In case of the Manager and Shop, the relationship between the types is defined in a separate data set. Therefore the application needs to take two relations into account in order to fully capture the details of the manager type: The relation \((\text{ManagerID}, \text{ManagerName})\) \(\in R_{F_2}\) and the relation \((\text{ManagerID}, \text{Group}, \text{ShopID})\) \(\in R_{F_3}\).

By joining the two relations on the \text{ManagerID}, a relation describing the managers and their shops is created (See Table 4.5) By merging the tuples of this relation on the primary identifier \text{ManagerID}, one set of data is produced which contains all relevant information of each manager:

\[
\text{ManagerID} = \{22\}, \text{Group} = \{\text{Group1}, \text{Group2}, \text{Group3}\}, \text{ManagerName} = \{\text{Meier}\}, \text{ShopID} = \{4,2\}
\]

This set represent the final structure of the data extracted from the input files. The extracted properties are sets of strings, because the graph extraction application collects each unique entry found for each property for each entity and ignores the multiplicity and typing of properties. In order to keep the structure of properties consistent across all data sets, the application creates singleton sets for properties with single values (e.g. having single strings and sets of strings when processing \text{ShopIDs} of the Manager unnecessarily increase the complexity of interacting with the extracted data).

4.3 Providing meta information

This section describes a DSL used for providing the necessary meta information to the application. First, the configuration of input files is discussed which allows the application to interpret the data in the source files. Based on this configuration, \text{DomainTypes} and \text{DomainDimensions} are established which define the final normalization sets described in subsection 4.2.5. In order to make the configuration process as fluent as possible, the DSL is build into Java and uses fluent interfaces for creating the required information.
4.3.1 Fluent interface for defining data sets

Figure 4.8: Class diagram of AbstractDataSource and its implementation CSVDataSource

The class diagram in Figure 4.8 shows the part of the application responsible for generalizing access to source files by creating associative arrays which map field names to values. The field names for the example domain model are defined in the tables 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3. The AbstractDataSources provides a fluent interface for chained linking of set-identifiers to data through the addField-method (see Figure 4.8):

```java
AbstractDataSource file1 = new CSVDataSource(filePath)
    .addField("ShopID", 0)
    .addField("City", 1)
    .addField("Country", 2)
    .addField("Continent", 4)
    .addField("Type", 5);
```

Code Snippet 1: Defining the field names for \( F_1 \)

4.3.2 Defining multiple data sources from one input file

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ShopID</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>ShopType</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>C-6F</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>B-2A</td>
<td>America</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.6: Example of additional data sets contained within \( F_1 \).

In some cases an input file can contain multiple types of data sets which can not be imported with same logic. The application needs to import multiple data sets from a file is required, because changes to the business structure are provided by the customer in form of a updated version of the import files. Manually adapting the file structure to have a consistent logic is not an option, because the same this process will have to be repeated for every change in the business structure. An example of an additional data set is displayed in Table 4.6, which may be part of file \( F_1 \) (see Table 4.1). This data set can not be imported with the configuration listed in Figure ??, because the additional Region column changes the indexes of the all columns to its right. By
defining an additional CSVDataSource for rows containing the additional column, import errors can be avoided which relatively low effort.

### 4.3.3 Defining the structure of data sources with generics

The generics `DataType` and `KeyType` define the structure of the data input (See Figure 4.8). They move the responsibility of defining the concrete nature of the input files to the implementation of the `AbstractDataSource`. It is not relevant for the abstract class how input files are structured, because it just assumes that there is a way to produce a stream of raw data (`getSourceStream`) which can be transformed to a map linking set identifiers to appropriate data (`convertToMap`). This is made possible by the `DataType`-generic, which defines the type of data in the stream of raw data (`getSourceStream`) and the parameter-type of the method responsible for converting its elements (see `convertToMap`). In case of the CSVDataSource, this generic is a `String` because CSV files are read line by line. The key type of the map produced by the `convertToMap`-method is defined by the `KeyType`-generic, which is determined by the method of accessing values within the unspecified data structure `DataType`. In case of the CSVDataSource, this generic is an `Integer`, because each line from the input file is split on commas, producing an integer-index array. With `DataType` and `KeyType` defined, the CSVDataSource can transform the input files $F_1$ (Table 4.1), $F_2$ (Table 4.2) and $F_3$ (Table 4.3): By passing the first line 1,London,England,A-2F,Europe,Large of $F_1$ to the `convertToMap`-method, which accepts a string due to the `DataType`-generic, the following map is produced:

$$M_1 = \{(0 \mapsto 1), (1 \mapsto \text{London}), (2 \mapsto \text{England}), (3 \mapsto \text{A-2F}), (4 \mapsto \text{Europe}), (5 \mapsto \text{Large})\}$$

With the meta information provided by the user (see Figure 4.8), the `getDataStream`-method can transform the integer keys of this map to the appropriate set identifiers:

$$M_S = \{(\text{ShopID} \mapsto 1), (\text{City} \mapsto \text{London}), (\text{Country} \mapsto \text{England}), (\text{Continent} \mapsto \text{Europe}), (\text{ShopType} \mapsto \text{Large})\}$$

Finally, the keys of this map can be translated according to the input provided through the DSL (see Figure 4.8):

$$M_S = \{(\text{ShopID} \mapsto 1), (\text{City} \mapsto \text{London}), (\text{County} \mapsto \text{England}), (\text{Continent} \mapsto \text{Europe}), (\text{ShopType} \mapsto \text{Large})\}$$

The implementation of the CSVDataSource can be found in Snippet 6.

### 4.3.4 Fluent interface for defining normalization sets

This subsection describes a fluent interface for defining `DomainTypes` which implement the normalization set defined in subsection 4.2.5 with additional typing of entity properties.

```java
dsl::TypeBuilder
+createType(String name): TypeBuilder
+primaryId(String sourceFieldName, AbstractDataSource... sources): TypeBuilder
+secondaryId(String sourceFieldName, AbstractDataSource... sources): TypeBuilder
+addStringSetProperty(String sourceFieldName, AbstractDataSource... sources): TypeBuilder
+<T> addProperty(String sourceFieldName, Class<T> type, Function<Stream<String>, T> converter, AbstractDataSource... sources): TypeBuilder
+<T, C<T>>addCollectionProperty(String sourceFieldName, Class<C> collectionType, Class<T> type, Function<Stream<String>, C> converter, AbstractDataSource... sources): TypeBuilder
+build(): DomainType
```

Figure 4.9: Class diagram of the TypeBuilder

With the field names for the files defined, the normalization sets described in subsection 4.2.5 can be created. Since the normalization sets for the input data depends on the business structure (see Figure 4.1), these sets are
DomainType manager = TypeBuilder.createType("Manager")
    .primaryId("ManagerID", file2, file3)
    .addProperty("ManagerName", String.class, stringStream->stringStream.findAll().get(), file2)
    .build();

Code Snippet 2: Defining the manager with the DSL

defined by recreating the domain model of the business with another fluent interface: The TypeBuilder. This builder creates DomainTypes consisting of identifier- and property fields, which represent the normalization sets. This interface also makes it possible to define the type of properties and their multiplicity: Since the extraction application treats all entities as sets of strings, the user needs to specify how the extracted values for the property can be transformed to the desired type. This is implemented with the Visitor pattern which uses Java’s Function as visitor. The TypeBuilder links each property to a Function which consumes a Stream of Strings and converts each entry to objects of the desired type (see addProperty and addCollectionProperty in Figure 4.9). The use of the visitor pattern makes it possible to define transformation operations on input data on the DSL level of the graph extraction application. In case of the Manager, the property ManagerName is the typed as String (String.class, second parameter of the addProperty-method in in line 3 of Snippet 2). The value of ManagerName is defined by the lambda implementation of the converter-Function, which returns the first element from the stream of values extracted for the property (Lines 3-4 in Snippet 2).

Method generics for type enforcement The TypeBuilder guides the user in defining correct data transformations for properties by the means of method generics: If the user sets the type-parameter to Double.class, the converter-parameter will only accept a Function<Stream<String>, Double as input (See method generic T in Figure 4.9). The same technique is also used for creating collections for properties which can contain multiple values: The addCollectionProperty will only accept a conversion Function which produces a Collection of type C containing the generic type T.

4.3.5 Fluent interface for combining entity types

At this point, the DomainTypes defined in the previous subsection are not connected. The DSL offers yet another fluent interface for connecting the DomainTypes defined in the previous subsection: The link-type method of the DomainDefinition, which connects the provided DomainTypes.

DomainDefinition definition =
    new DomainDefinition().
    linkTypes(type, shop).
    linkTypes(group, manager).
    linkTypes(manager, shop).
    linkTypes(continent, country, city, shop);

Code Snippet 3: Defining connections between DomainTypes through the DSL

Figure 4.10: Visualization of the connections between DomainTypes.

The code listing Snippet 3 shows the DSL-code for recreating the connections of the domain model displayed in Figure 4.1. The DomainTypes provided to the linkTypes-method are connected by registering the B as child of A and A as parent if the are provided ordered alphabetically. The created parent-child relationships between the DomainTypes of the example business structure can be seen in Figure 4.10, where the source of each edge is
the parent type and the sink the child type. The `linkTypes`-method connects two `DomainTypes` by adding the relation containing both primary identifiers of the two types to set of relations to be extracted for each type.

### 4.3.6 Enforcing the properties of the graph

The graph created by the graph extraction application can be verified based on the meta data provided through the DSL, since the `DomainTypes` and their connections are equal to the layers described in section 3.4: If the graph of `DomainTypes` and the edges between them do not contain back edges, the graph of business entities created based on the `DomainTypes` can not contain any back edges. In order to test for back-edges, the Depth-first-search-algorithm needs to be executed on the graph. The algorithm can classify the edges of the graph into the categories `tree edge`, `cross edge`, `forward edge` and `back edge` (Cormen et al. (2009)).

Figure 4.11: Visualization of the example domain model graph.

Figure 4.12: Visualization of a domain model graph containing a loop.

Black: Tree edge, Blue: Cross edge, Red: Back edge, Arrows indicate edge direction

Figure 4.11 and Figure 4.12 show the edge classifications produced by the `Depth-first-search` for two graphs consisting of `DomainTypes` defined with the DSL. The first graph mirrors the domain model depicted in Figure 4.1 and defines the structure of the graph extracted for the exemplary business structure discussed in this chapter (see Figure 4.2). In the second graph, an edge pointing from the shop to the continent has been added. This creates a cycle between the vertices `City`, `Shop`, `Continent` and `Country`. The edge between `Country` and `City` is identified as back edge, because the vertices of the graph are processed in lexicographical order: The algorithm starts at the `City`-vertex from which it visits the `Shop`-vertex. Next, the `Continent` and the `Country` are visited. Since all child vertices of `City` have been visited by the algorithm when the edge between `Country` and `City` is discovered, it is identified as a back edge. If a back edge is detected in the graph of `DomainTypes`, the application throws an exception before the actual data processing begins. The implementation of this algorithm can be found in Snippet 7.

### 4.4 Creating interconnected vertices

This section describes how the graph extraction application creates vertices from data extracted from the source files and how the resulting graph can be used.
Once the provided meta information is validated, the application executes the data transformation described in section 4.2. When this process is complete, the application has a map which connects each DomainType with data sets which each contain all information of one entity of that type. From each of these entity data sets a TypeVertex is instantiated (See constructor of TypeVertex) and made accessible through the DomainGraph. The

### 4.4.1 Converting extracted values to typed properties

The constructor of TypeVertex also requires a DomainType, because the properties of the vertex are extracted as sets of strings without information about their type or multiplicity. In order to convert these sets to the appropriate properties, the TypeVertex requires the meta information provided by the user: For each property of the TypeVertex, the DomainType has a Function which transforms the set of strings to a collection of objects or a single objects. The process of retrieving a map containing all properties is depicted in
the sequence diagram Figure 4.14: Since the property value sets may contain, identifiers of the TypeVertex or foreign keys foreign keys to other DomainTypes, the TypeVertex requires information about which of the extracted data sets contain properties (getPropertyFields() in Figure 4.14). For each property identifier, the TypeVertex retrieves the user defined conversion function (getPropertyFunction(p) and applies it to a Stream of the strings sets containing the raw values of the property(getFieldValue(p).stream() and apply(values) in Figure 4.14).

4.4.2 Replacing foreign identifiers with references
A subset of the foreign identifiers obtained during the extraction process may not be resolvable, because the entities they identify were not extracted from the input files. This problem may occur due to inconsistencies in the input files or because entities were ignored during the input process (For example, closed shops are ignored because they are not of relevance for KPI calculation, but the associations between managers and shops still reference the closed shops). For this reason, the foreign identifiers are not a reliable way of inferring connections between entities. Therefore, the foreign identifiers contained in the TypeVertecies are replaced with object references to the TypeVertices they identify (see code snipped Snippet ??, lines 274-301). During this process all foreign identifiers which can not be resolved are ignored and the final data structure only contains valid connections between entities. This also reduces the amount of operations required for processing the graph structure, because invalid links are never processed.

4.4.3 Undirected representation of edges
The connections between vertices are represented as undirected edges, meaning that if vertex A is the parent of vertex B, both vertexes will have a reference to each other. This leads to the situation discussed in section 3.1, in which the direction of an edge can not be inferred between the two vertices. However, the edge direction between vertecies is still known, because it is part of the meta information available in the DomainTypes, which differentiates between parent and child types. This decreases the complexity of the edge representation in the data structure, because edges to TypeVertecies of child and parent DomainTypes can be stored without considering the edge direction.
Chapter 5

MapReduce graph processing-application

In order to calculate KPIs for all vertices of the business structure, the graphs created with the application described in chapter 4 need to processed by a graph processing application. This chapter describes and application, following principals of MapReduce (Dean & Ghemawat (2004)), which navigates the graph through a user defined path while extracting relevant data from the graph. When the traversal is finished, the collected values are reduced by a user defined operation in order to calculate KPIs. In order to execute the mapping process as fast as possible, the graph is traversed with a BFS-algorithm executed in parallel.

5.1 Meta information in model classes

Since the graph extracted by the graph extraction application is a mathematical object, it needs to be contextualized in order to be processed. Therefore, the meta information provided by the user in form of the DomainTypes (see subsection 4.3.4), which describe the entities of the business structure, is persisted in form of JSON schemas. Theses schemas are used to generate model classes for each graph, which the graph extraction application uses remove the high level of abstraction of the data structure and offers a domain specific programming interface in form of the QueryContainer. This makes it possible to use the same graph processing application for any business structure while providing an easy to understand way of interacting with the graph.

5.2 Programming the map-reduce process

This section describes the interaction with the graph processing application based on an example query for the exemplary business structure defined in chapter 4. The following sections will use this QueryContainer to explain the graph processing application. Additionally, the use of the command pattern enabling the programming interface is described.

5.2.1 Exemplary query

```java
QueryContainer<Group, Shop, String, List<String>> shopsWithOneManager =
    new QueryContainer<Group, Shop, String, List<String>>(path(Shop.class)
        .addFilter(Shop.class, shop -> shop.getManager().size()==1)
        .defineEntityFunction(Shop.class, shop -> shop.getId())
        .defineResult(stringStream -> stringStream.collect(Collectors.toList())));
```

Code Snippet 4: A query collecting the identifiers of shops with one manager by traversing the graph from Group to Manager to Shop.

Snippet 4 shows the required input for defining a map-reduce operation to be executed by the graph processing application. The user needs to define the path of model classes to be traversed through the graph with a fluent
interface (path in line 1). In this case, the application will navigate from a Group to Managers to Shops. Line 3 specifies a filter for the Shop: Only shops which are managed by a single manager must be considered during the traversal. The next line defines what the extraction process for the Shop: Since the user is interested in identifiers of shops which have one manager, the application needs to extract identifier of shops. Finally, the creating of the expected result is defined in line 5, which creates a list of all Shop-identifiers which where extracted during the traversal.

### 5.2.2 Command pattern for context specific operations

This subsection describes how the application makes use of model classes to provide a user friendly programming interface.

**Instantiating model classes with vertex data**

Since the graph is traversed based on a path defined by model classes, the class representing the data of any visited vertex is is know. The DatabaseActor provides the WorkerActor with JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) representation of the vertices, which makes it easy to instantiate the model classes with the data contained in the vertices (see the instantiate-method of the WorkerActor in Line 200 of Snippet 9). The QueryContainer links types of the traversed path with Functions for filtering model instances and extracting values from them. Therefor, the data of each vertex can be applied to those Functions (See line 239 and line 249 of the WorkerActor in Snippet 9).

**Extracting typed values from model class instances**

Since the application needs to combine multiple extracted values from vertices of the graph, the values to be processed need to be of the same type (It would not make sense to accept strings, lists or json files as parameters for a summation of sales data). The generic Value of the QueryContainer is used to enforce the type of data extracted from the vertices (see defineEntityFunction in Figure 5.1). It also enforce the type of data consumed by the function responsible for creating the Result of the query: If the user specifies that a String needs to be extracted from traversed entities, only a function which produce Strings can be supplied to the QueryContainer (See line 3 of Snippet 4). Since the Result-generic is specified as List of Strings (see line 2 of Snippet 4), the defineResult-method will only accept a Function which consumes a Stream of Strings and turns it into a list (See line 4 of Snippet 4).
5.3 Using actors to execute QueryContainers

The graph processing application is designed around AKKA, an implementation of the Actor Model (Hewitt (2010)) for the Java Virtual Machine (JVM). Since the actor model is a computational model which solves concurrency issues by communication, the interaction between the components is visualized in the communication diagram displayed in Figure 5.2. This diagram will be used to explain the process of executing a QueryContainer. The use of the Actor system is justified in Appendix C.

5.3.1 GraphNavigationSystem

In order to execute a QueryContainer, it needs to be provided with the identifier of the initial vertex to the GraphNavigationSystem, which is the central component of the the processing application. On application startup, this component initializes the actor system for executing queries and creates a single DatabaseActor for database operations. The main responsibility of this component is starting the user defined queries and returning the result of the query to the user when it has been processed. Therefor, the GraphNavigation creates a new QueryMasterActor for each QueryContainer and supplies it with a reference to the DatabaseActor. The result is returned to the outside-world as a CompletionStage.

5.3.2 QueryMasterActor

In order to start the execution of QueryContainer, the QueryMasterActor creates a WorkerActor, providing it with a reference to the QueryContainer, a reference to the Database Actor and the identifier of the initial vertex to be processed . Once this initial child actor is created, the QueryMasterActor starts the execution of Map process by sending a StartProcessing-message to the WorkerActor. In the progress of the this process the QueryMasterActor will receive ValueMessages from WorkerActors (See Figure 5.2), which contain the user defined values extracted from specific vertices of graph (see Figure 5.2.2). When a WorkerDone message is received from the child actor, the Map-process is complete and all collected values are applied to the resultFunction in order to produce the result expected by the user. When the result is calculated, it is send to the GraphNavigationSystem in form of map and the child actor is killed with a PoisonPill.
5.3.3 WorkerActor

During the mapping-process of executing a query, the application builds a network of WorkerActors, which mirrors the structure of tree to be traversed. Due to the complexity of this process, an additional diagram inspired by the sequence diagram shows the interaction between the WorkerActor and other components is available in the appendix (Figure C.4).

Requesting data and waiting for processing approval Upon receiving a StartProcessing message, the WorkerActor sends a DatabaseRequest-message to the DatabaseActor for extracting the data of its vertex from the database. When it receives the requested data in form of a DatabaseResponse, it checks whether the vertex has more than one parent of the previous type in the path of the QueryBuilder. If this is the case, a RecursionControlRequest is send to the QueryMasterActor, which can deny or approve the request (see section 5.4 for more details). Otherwise the actor approves the request itself and start processing the data of its vertex.

Filtering the vertex Upon receiving an approved RecursionControlResponse, the WorkerActor starts processing the data of its vertex. If the QueryContainer contains a query of for the type of the vertex, it instantiates the model class with the data of the vertex and applies to the filter Function. When executing the QueryContainer defined in Snippet 4, each WorkerActor processing a Shop will create a shop instance. If the shop has more than one manager identifier, it passes the filter. If it does not, the processing of the vertex is finished.

Extracting values from the vertex If the filter is passed and the QueryContainer contains a mapping function for the type of the vertex, the data of the vertex is used to instantiate the model class and applied to it and the created Value is send to the QueryMasterActor.

Creating child actors for child vertices In order to traverse the graph among the user provided path, the foreign identifiers pointing towards the next type in the path need to be processed as well. Therefore, the actor extracts the identifiers of the next type in the path from the data of its vertex. If no identifiers are found, the processing is finished. Otherwise, the WorkerActor creates a new actor for each of the child vertices and parallelly starts their execution with StartProcessing messages (See line 321 of Snippet 9). The benefits the parallel execution is clearly visible in performance test discussed in Appendix D, which compares the execution time of sequentially executing the traversal versus doing it in parallel.

Waiting for all children to finish The WorkerActor keeps track of the state of its children by keeping references two those actors which have not sent a WorkerFinished-message. Upon receiving such a message, the WorkerActor kills it child with a PoisonPill and removes the reference to that actor. If no actor references remain, the WorkerActor knows that all children of its vertex have been processed and sends a WorkerFinished-message to its parent, which may be another WorkerActor or the QueryMasterActor.

5.3.4 DatabaseActor

The DatabaseActor is responsible for interacting with the database. In order to assure the integrity of the database connection, only one DatabaseActor can exist in the application: If the WorkerActors were to be responsible for executing queries them selves, an indeterminable amount of threads would simultaneously try to use the database connection. By delegating the responsibility to singleton DatabaseActor, the database connection is always used once at any given point int time. Since the queries are executed asynchronically, the process of handling a DatabaseRequest never blocks and is executed almost instantaneously. Since the there is only once actor using the database connection, it is easy to react to database exception and reschedule failed queries without involving the WorkerActor, who remains unaware of the details of the database interaction. For example, it is likely that a database can not keep up with tree traversal process and suffer a queue overflow. When the callback of a query returns such an exception, the query can simply be executed again until it is completed. During this time, the WorkerActor sleeps and does not use any threads. The results of successful queries are send to the WorkerActors in form of DatabaseResponses, which encapsulate the query result as JSON string.
5.4 Recursion control

In order to calculate KPIs for any given entity of the business, all data relevant for the calculation needs to be discovered at most once (see Figure 2.2.2) during the calculation process. This issue is visualized in Figure 5.3 and Figure 5.4: Assuming that the data relevant for the calculation of KPIs is contained in the shop vertices, the vertices Shop:1, Shop:2, Shop:3 and Shop:4 need to be considered. Because, Shop:2 and Shop:4 are managed by the managers Meier and Jansen, they will be discovered twice. This invalidates the calculation, because the values associated with these two shops will also be considered twice. The simplest way of assuring correct calculations is removing duplicates when calculating the result. By using this method, the algorithm can run completely parallelized. However, this also means that many of the extracted values are discarded, because the entire sub-tree below a vertex with more than one parent is processed for each parent. Depending on the size of the sub-tree at such a vertex, this can greatly increase the running time of the data collection process. Another way of assuring that each vertex is only considered once, is to assure that the extraction process of each vertex is only started once. However, this would prevent the graph processing from being executed in parallel, because the information about which vertices have been visited needs to be stored in a centralized and synchronized way to prevent race conditions. In order to minimize the processing time and assure the tree-structure of the processed sub-graph, the application combines the two approaches: Vertices which have more than one parent vertex of the previous type in the query path use recursion control. All other vertices are processed without recursion control, because the number of invocation of the extraction process for any vertex is equal to the number of its parent vertices. This enables the application to process the sub-graph below the vertex Group2 displayed Figure 5.3 as a the rooted sub-tree displayed in Figure 5.4: Only the edges from the manager Meier to the shops Shop:2 and Shop4 were processed.
Chapter 6

Quality Control

This chapter briefly describes how the quality of the application developed during this project is assured.

6.1 Unit tests

The graph creation application has been fully unit tested by creating the graph of the example business discussed in chapter 4 and validating the connections created between the vertex types. In order to prove its functionality, multiple graphs have been produced with the application (some are visualized in (see Figure B.1 and Figure B.2) and have been used to correctly calculate KPIs for the business modeled by the graphs. The implementation of the DFS-algorithm (see Snippet 7) has also been fully unit tested. The graph processing application has been tested and is proven to always produce the same output for the same QueryContainer and same starting vertex. Since the unit test uses the query described in section 5.4 as test case, in which recursion control is required, the recursion control also proven to work. Additionally, the application has been manually tested by confirming the results of an accumulation of sales based on the input files of the graph.

6.2 Code quality

In order to assure the readability of the code, all methods within the graph creation application and the graph processing application are documented with JavaDoc and the more complex operations within the application have additional code comments in order to explain the processes. Especially the DomainDefinition has been extensively documented, since numerous complex data structures are processed with interconnected stream operations in order to extract graph structures from input files.
Chapter 7

Conclusion

At the beginning of my thesis I was presented with a simple problem: My employer needed an application for quickly calculating KPIs which was easy to adapt for new customers, because the current version of the software required large amounts of efforts to be adapted for a new customer and took a long time to calculate KPIs. The issues of the software began at modeling the business structures of the often large corporations, which could only be deduced from more or less logical input files which often include small errors which make them a nightmare to process. Since there is no way to prevent additional development effort for these issues, I decided to build an abstract application which offers a programming interface for quickly defining multiple logical sets from input files and import them: The AbstractDataSource (see subsection 4.3.1). This programming interface powers the graph extraction application, which can generate a complex (and beautiful) graph representation of business structures. With this application, a lot of development effort can be avoided for future versions of the KPI Cockpit, because the logic of the application works for all input files. The next major issue to be solved were the performance problems of the KPI Cockpit, which is implemented in PHP using a Structured Query Language (SQL) database and is based on code developed during the past 10 years. Because of the complexity of the code base, I decided against analyzing the current version of the software in any depth in order to focus on building an improved version. The resulting application can process 10000 vertices in 500 milliseconds and can be sped up even further by increasing the throughput of the database. Due to the complexity of the two application, the deployment of the application was not tackled. However, the graph processing application is designed to be deployed as a distributed application. traperto is pleased with the speed of the application is especially interested in the graph representation of their customers.
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Appendix A

Graph algorithms

A.1 Depth-first search

Figure A.1: The depth first algorithm. Taken from Lipschutz & Lipson (2010)
A.2 Topological sorting

Algorithm 9.4: The algorithm finds a topological sort $T$ of a directed cycle-free graph $S$.

Step 1. Find the indegree $\text{INDEG}(N)$ of each vertex $N$ of $S$.

Step 2. Insert in QUEUE all vertices with zero degree.

Step 3. Repeat Steps 4 and 5 until QUEUE is empty.

Step 4. Remove and process the front vertex $N$ of QUEUE.

Step 5. Repeat for each neighbor $M$ of the vertex $N$.
   (a) Set $\text{INDEG}(M) := \text{INDEG}(M) - 1$.
       [This deletes the edge from $N$ to $M$.]
   (b) If $\text{INDEG}(M) = 0$, add $M$ to QUEUE.
       [End of loop.]
[End of Step 3 loop.]


Figure A.2: Algorithm for finding a topological sort of a graph. Taken from Lipschutz & Lipson (2010)
Appendix B

Graphs produced with the graph extractor

Figure B.1: A graph consisting of 21729 vertices and 106299 edges.
In Figure B.1, green edges show associations separate the shops into types, red elements represent managers and the shops they are responsible for, blue elements separate the business into regions and cities and associate them with shops, orange elements represent group of managers. Generated with D3js.
Figure B.2: A graph consisting of 2737 vertices and 10713 edges.
In Figure B.2 the small black dots represent shops which are managed by managers (green elements). Both shops and managers are associated to areas (orange). Blue elements associate into retailers or shop types. Purple and red elements separate the business into retail types (e.g. e-commerce, normal retail, chains). Generated with D3js.
Appendix C

Using the actor model

This chapter justifies the use of the actor system for the graph processing application in order to parallelize BFS-algorithm for the required tree traversal. First, the traversal is described when using a recursive and sequentially executed BFS.

C.1 Sequential traversal

The key issue of parallelizing the tree traversal within the graph structures is maintaining the state of each invocation of the traversal. When executing the traversal sequentially, one can rely on the call stack to handle the correct ordering of recursive executions of the tree traversal.

For example, in the tree displayed in Figure C.1, the BFS starts at vertex A and recursively invokes the traversal for B and C. Next, D, E and F are visited from B. After the children of B are visited, the sub-tree below C is discovered. Next text traversal is started for the vertices D, E and F. Since they have no child vertices, the traversal stops at these vertices. Therefor, the traversal of the the sub-tree below B is completed. The same happens below the vertex C: The traversal is started recursively for the vertices G, H and I. Since none of them have child vertices for which another recursion is invoked, all vertices of the sub-tree below C have been visited. Now all recursive invocation which could have been started by the children of A are completed and the traversal of the tree starting a this vertex is finished.
C.2 Parallel traversal

The BFS-algorithm can easily be parallelized by starting each recursive invocation of the traversal process in parallel. However, this means that the call stack can no longer be used to maintain the state of the traversal, because each parallel invocation of the traversal has its own call stack. This means that the traversals finish in an indeterminable order: In the example given in img:bfs2, the vertex C has been processed before vertex D, besides the fact that D is the child of the initial vertex. Since, traversals finish in random order and the application needs to update the state of the parent traversal when a child traversal has completed. The easiest way of maintaining the state of the traversal invocations is to recreate the structure of the tree to traversed with the states of the invocation and their dependency to states of other invocations.

C.3 Using the actor model to keep track of a decentralized state

The structure of maintaining the decentralized state of multiple processes can be model with the Actor model (Hewitt (2010)), which solves concurrency issues with stateful actors which run in parallel and communicate using messages. By creating a new actor for each invocation of the BFS traversal, the state of each traversal can be tracked independently and communicated to the traversal it was started by. This is visualized in Figure C.2: Each vertex is aware of the traversals it started and only changes its state to finished when all of its child processes have finished. This means that traversal can be started in random order while being able to assure that each vertex is processed correctly.
Using the actor model

Figure C.4: Diagram visualizing the processes in the WorkerActor.
Appendix D

Performance comparison between sequential DFS and parallel BFS

Figure D.1: Performance measurements of DFS and parallel BFS

Figure D.1 displays the performance of the sequential DFS-algorithm and a parallelized version of the BFS-algorithm for processing 10798 vertices for calculation 14 KPIs for the highest point of the business structure graph displayed in Figure B.2. In both cases, the graph is stored in a MongoDB-database on the Solid State Drives of the system, which needs to be considered when interpreting the results of the performance test. The used Intel Core i7 has 4 cores running at 2.5 gigahertz (which can be boosted to 4 gigahertz) which can run 8 threads in parallel. The AMD Ryzen R7 1700 has 8 cores running at 3 gigahertz (which can be boosted to 3.7 gigahertz) which can run 16 threads in parallel. Both systems have 16 gigabytes of memory. The graph shows the first 10 executions of the same query after the initialization of the graph processing application is completed. The reason for the higher Central Processing Unit (CPU) usage at beginning of test is the initialization of a pool 100 connections to the database. Because of this, the measurements of the first execution are ignored. The estimated JVM CPU utilization shows that the DFS-algorithm is executed sequentially and uses a single thread to process the graph (Roughly 13% CPU utilization of the i7 and about 7% utilization of the r1700). The BFS-algorithm, which can traverses the graph in parallel, clearly out-performs the DFS-algorithm: With the i7, which has better single-core performance, the average performance increase factor is 2.6 when using BFS. On the r1700 this factor is 6.9. The CPU utilization of the JVM of 61% is the result of the database running on the same system, since the estimated CPU utilization of the system is 99% during the test. It is likely that the application would see a performance increase on the i7 system, if the database was located on a different machine. On the r7 system however, the estimated CPU utilization of the system remains at about 50%. The most likely reason for this is the database performance, which is limited by a connection pool of
a 100 connections. Therefore it is likely that the performance of the BFS-algorithm could be increased even further on r1700 system by increasing the throughput of the underlying database system.
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Code snippets

```java
/*
   ------1.-------
   Define data to be imported from all files.
*/
//Note that index 3 of file1 is ignored.
Path filePath = Paths.get("testInput1.txt");
AbstractDataSource file1 = new CSVDataSource(filePath)
   .addField("ShopID", 0)
   .addField("City", 1)
   .addField("Country", 2)
   .addField("Continent", 4)
   .addField("Type", 5);
Path filePath2 = Paths.get("testInput2.txt");
AbstractDataSource file2 = new CSVDataSource(filePath2)
   .addField("ManagerID", 0)
   .addField("Group", 1)
   .addField("ManagerName", 2);
Path filePath3 = Paths.get("testInput3.txt");
AbstractDataSource file3 = new CSVDataSource(filePath3)
   .addField("ShopID", 0)
   .addField("ManagerID", 1);

/*
   ------2.-------
   Define domain types based on input data
*/
DomainType shop = TypeBuilder.createType("Shop").primaryId("ShopID", file1, file3).build();
DomainType type = TypeBuilder.createType("Type").primaryId("Type", file1).build();
//Multiple dataSources for ManagerID since the manager is defined in file2 and file3.
DomainType manager = TypeBuilder.createType("Manager")
   .primaryId("ManagerID", file2, file3)
   .addProperty("ManagerName", String.class, 
                stringStream -> stringStream.findFirst().get(), file2).build();
DomainType city = TypeBuilder.createType("City")
   .primaryId("City", file1).build();
DomainType group = TypeBuilder.createType("Group")
   .primaryId("Group", file2).build();
DomainType country = TypeBuilder.createType("Country")
   .primaryId("Country", file1).build();
```
DomainType continent = TypeBuilder.createType("Continent")
    .primaryId("Continent", file1).build();

/*------3------*/
Define graph structure.
*/
DomainDefinition definition = new DomainDefinition().
    linkTypes(type, shop).
    linkTypes(group, person).
    linkTypes(person, shop).
    linkTypes(continent, country, city, shop);

/*------4------*/
Extract graph based on DomainDefinition and all associated DataSources.
*/
DomainGraph graph = definition.createGraph();

/* Use data. In this case: Create a json containing all entities and
edges for a three dimensional graph representation. */
AbstractVertexD3Creator jsonCreator = new D3DJsonCreator(graph);
jsonCreator.createJson("data.json");

Code Snippet 5: Code snippet for creating a graph representation for the case discussed in chapter 4

```java
public class CSVDataSource extends AbstractDataSource<Integer, String> {
    private final Path filePath;

    public CSVDataSource(FieldNameMapper fieldNameMapper, Path filePath) {
        super(fieldNameMapper);
        this.filePath = filePath;
    }

    @Override
    protected Map<Integer, String> convertToMap(String sourceRow) {
        String[] split = sourceRow.split(",");
        Map<Integer, String> rowMap = new HashMap<>();
        for (int i = 0; i < split.length; i++) {
            String s = split[i];
            rowMap.put(i, s);
        }
        return rowMap;
    }

    @Override
    protected Stream<String> getSourceStream() {
        try {
            return Files.lines(filePath, Charset.forName("UTF-8"));
        } catch (IOException e) {
            e.printStackTrace();
        }
        throw new IllegalArgumentException("Could not open the file "+filePath.toString());
    }
}
```
protected String onEmptyField(String fieldName) {
    return "-";
}
}
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33 protected String onEmptyField(String fieldName) { return "-";}
34 }


E.1 Depth-first-search algorithm

public class DepthFirstSearch<

    public class DepthFirstSearch<VertexType> {
        /**
         * Container containing the graph.
         */
        private final GraphContainer<VertexType> container;
        /**
         * Stores which vertices have been visited by DFS.
         */
        private Set<VertexType> visited = new HashSet<>();
        /**
         * Stores the predecessors for each vertex from which parent vertex it was visited.
         * (Some vertices may have multiple parents. DFS processes each vertex once.
         * This stores from which of the parents the vertex was visited).
         */
        private Map<VertexType, VertexType> predecessorMap = new HashMap<>();
        /**
         * Stores the timestamp on which each vertex was visited.
         */
        private Map<VertexType, Integer> startTimes = new HashMap<>();
        /**
         * Stores the timestamp on which the DFS-tree was finished for each vertex.
         */
        private Map<VertexType, Integer> finishTimes = new HashMap<>();
        /**
         * Stores the current timestamp.
         */
        private int time = 0;

        public DepthFirstSearch(GraphContainer<VertexType> container) {
            this.container = container;
        }

        public Map<GraphEdge<VertexType>, EdgeType> classifyEdges() {
            /*
             * Starts the execution for each vertex which has not been visited before.
             * The check is required, because a vertex may have been visited by a previous invocation
             * of the recursion method.
             */
            container.getVertexMap().values().stream().forEach(vertexTypeVertexAdapter -> {
                if (!visited.contains(vertexTypeVertexAdapter)) {
                    recursion(vertexTypeVertexAdapter, null);
                }
            });
            /*
             * Classify all edges of in the graph by comparing the start and finish times
             * of all parent vertices to their children.
             */
            return container.getVertexMap().values().stream().map(vertex -> container.getChildren(vertex).stream().map(child -> {
                
            }});
```java
int parentStart = startTimes.get(vertex);
int parentFinish = finishTimes.get(vertex);
int childStart = startTimes.get(child);
int childFinish = finishTimes.get(child);

EdgeType edgeType = EdgeType.CROSS_EDGE;
if (parentStart < childStart && parentFinish > childFinish) {
    if (predecessorMap.get(child).equals(vertex)) {
        edgeType = EdgeType.TREE_EDGE;
    } else {
        edgeType = EdgeType.FORWARD_EDGE;
    }
}
if (parentStart > childStart && parentFinish < childFinish) {
    edgeType = EdgeType.BACK_EDGE;
}

return Map.entry(new GraphEdge<>(vertex, child), edgeType);
```

```java
public class DomainDefinition {
    private Set<DomainType> domainTypes = new HashSet<>();

    public DomainDefinition linkTypesRequiredConncetion(DomainType... types){
        return innerLinkTypes(true,types);
    }
}
```

Code Snippet 7: Implementation of the Depth-First-Search-algorithm for edge classification

### E.2 Graph Extraction application

```java
public class DomainDefinition {
    private Set<DomainType> domainTypes = new HashSet<>();
    /**
     * @param types
     * @return
     */
    public DomainDefinition linkTypesRequiredConncetion(DomainType... types){
        return innerLinkTypes(true,types);
    }
}
```
```java
public DomainDefinition linkTypes(DomainType... types) {
    return innerLinkTypes(false, types);
}

private DomainDefinition innerLinkTypes(boolean required, DomainType... types) {
    /*
    The target DomainType needs to contain the identifying field(s) of the source DomainType. 
    This makes it possible to establish which instances of the target-DomainType are
    connected to
    the source-DomainType:
    source.UniqueName (id) = ABC,
    targetA.UniqueName (attribute) = ABC,
    targetB.UniqueName (attribute) = ABC,
    targetC.UniqueName (attribute) = XYZ,
    ...
    */
    Arrays.stream(types).forEach(type -> domainTypes.add(type));
    for (int i = 1; i < types.length; i++) {
        types[i - 1].addChildType(types[i], required);
    }
    return this;
}

protected void validateGraph() {
    GraphContainer<DomainType> graphContainer = new DomainTypeVertexAdapterFactory().createGraphAlgorithmContainer(getAllEntityDefinitions());
    AbstractVertexD3Creator error = new DPSD3Creator(graphContainer);
    error.createJson("test.json");
    DepthFirstSearch<DomainType> domainTypeDepthFirstSearch = new DepthFirstSearch<>(graphContainer);
    Map<GraphEdge<DomainType>, EdgeType> graphEdgeEdgeTypeMap = domainTypeDepthFirstSearch.classifyEdges();

    if (graphEdgeEdgeTypeMap.values().contains(EdgeType.BACK_EDGE)) {
        throw new IllegalArgumentException("The graph contains back edges: ");
    }
}

/**
 * Creates a graph based on the DomainTypes.
 * @return
 */
public DomainGraph createGraph() {
```
```
validateGraph();
prepareDataSource();

/*
Extract entities from the data sources.
*/
Map<DomainType, Map<String, TypeVertex>> entityDefinitionListMap = GetEntities();

return new DomainGraph(this, entityDefinitionListMap);
}

/**
* Prepares the data sources for extraction by setting the fields from the
* sources which need to be extracted.
*/
protected void prepareDataSource() {
Map<AbstractDataSource, Set<DomainType>> dataSourceToDomainTypesMap =
   getDataSourceToDomainTypesMap().keySet().parallelStream().forEach(dataSource -> {
      Set<String> values = dataSourceToDomainTypesMap.get(dataSource).stream()
   .map(entityDefinition -> entityDefinition.getSourceFieldNames())
   .flatMap(strings -> strings.stream()).collect(Collectors.toSet());

dataSource.setRelevantFieldNames(values);
});

public Set<DomainType> getAllEntityDefinitions() {
   return domainTypes;
}

/**
* Returns a map mapping each relevant data source to all domain types extracted from it.
* @return
*/
protected Map<AbstractDataSource, Set<DomainType>> getDataSourceToDomainTypesMap() {
   return getAllEntityDefinitions().stream()
   .map(type -> type.getDatasources().stream()
   .map(source -> new AbstractMap.SimpleEntry<>(source, type))
   .flatMap(entryStream -> entryStream)
   .collect(Collectors.groupingBy(o -> o.getKey(),
   Collectors.mapping(o -> o.getValue(), Collectors.toSet())));
}

/**
* Extracts all tuples of the normalization relations for each DomainType from all
datasources.
* The maps represent tuples of each relation, the set contains all relations defining the
DomainType.
* @param dataSources
*/
protected Map<DomainType, Set<Map<String, String>>> extractDomainTypeData(Map<AbstractDataSource, Set<DomainType>>> dataSources) {
    Stream<Stream<Stream<AbstractMap.SimpleEntry<DomainType, Map<String, String>>> data = dataSources.entrySet().parallelStream().map(sourceToTypes -> {
        Stream<Map<String, String>> dataStream = sourceToTypes.getKey().getDataStream();
        return dataStream.map(dataRow -> {
            /*
             * Per line for each DomainType to be extracted:
             */
            return sourceToTypes.getValue().parallelStream().map(entityDefinition -> {
                /*
                 * Return <EntityDefinition, Map<String,String> mapping the definition to
                 * a map of entity data.
                 */
                Map<String, String> entityData = entityDefinition.fieldToReadFrom(sourceToTypes.getKey()).stream().map(entityFieldName -> dataRow.entrySet().stream().filter(dataFieldName -> dataFieldName.getKey().equals(entityFieldName)).findFirst()).filter(stringStringEntry -> stringStringEntry.isPresent()).map(dataFieldNameOptional -> dataFieldNameOptional.get());
                if (entityData.containsKey(entityDefinition.getIdField())) {
                    return new AbstractMap.SimpleEntry<>(entityDefinition, entityData);
                }
                return null;
            }).filter(domainTypeMapEntry -> domainTypeMapEntry != null);
        });
    }).filter(entityDefinitionMapKeyValuePair -> entityDefinitionMapKeyValuePair.getValue().size() > 1)
        .distinct()
        .filter(entityDefinitionMapKeyValuePair -> entityDefinitionMapKeyValuePair != null &&
            /*
             * If there is only one entry in the data set, it can only be an identifier which is worthless on its own.
             */
            entityDefinitionMapKeyValuePair.getValue().size() > 1)
            .distinct()
Group the entries based on their DomainType and put the relations of the type into a set.

```java
collect(Collectors.groupingByConcurrent(o -> o.getKey(),
    Collectors.mapping(o -> o.getValue(), Collectors.toSet())));
```

/**
 * Joins the extracted dataset on the primary id of their type.
 * @param rawTypeData
 * @return
 */
protected Map<DomainType, Set<Map<String, Set<String>>>> joinDataSetsOnID(Map<DomainType, Set<Map<String, String>>> rawTypeData) {
    Map<DomainType, Map<Set<String>, List<Map<String, String>>>> entityData = rawTypeData.entrySet().parallelStream()
        .map(entityDefinitionSetEntry ->
            Map<Set<String>, List<Map<String, String>>> collect1 =
                .parallelStream().collect(Collectors.groupingByConcurrent(o ->
                    entityDefinitionSetEntry.getValue().entrySet().stream()
                    .map(idField -> o.getIdField().getIdFields().stream()
                        .collect(Collectors.toSet())));
            return new AbstractMap.SimpleEntry<>(entityDefinitionSetEntry.getKey(),
                collect1);
        ).distinct().collect(Collectors.toMap(o -> o.getKey(), o -> o.getValue()));

    /*
    * Merge the previously combined set containing the same ids
    * [{1} : [{tid: 1, val1 : A, val2:B, val3:C}, {tid: 1, val4: D}],
    * --> [{1} : [{A}, {B}, {C,D}], {2} : [{W}, {X}, {Y}, {Z}]]
    */
    return entityData.entrySet().stream().map(domainTypeMapEntry ->
        domainTypeMapEntry.getValue().entrySet().stream()
        .map(entrySet -> entrySet.getKey().getIdFields().stream()
            .collect(Collectors.toSet()))
        .collect(Collectors.toMap(o -> o.getKey(), o -> o.getValue())));
```java
.parallelStream().map(entityDescriptor -> {
    Map<String, Set<String>> collect =
        entityDescriptor.getCollect().parallelStream()
            .flatMap(entityProperties -> entityProperties.getEntrySet().stream())
            .collect(Collectors.groupingBy(o -> o.getKey(),
                                      Collectors.mapping(o -> o.getValue(), Collectors.toSet())));

    /*
    Make sure that all properties are contained!
    Otherwise ignore the data set.
    */
    boolean propertyMissing = domainTypeMapEntry.getKey().getPropertyFields().stream().anyMatch(property -> !collect.containsKey(property));

    if (!propertyMissing) {
        return new AbstractMap.SimpleEntry<>(domainTypeMapEntry.getKey(),
            collect);
    }

    System.out.println("Ignoring: " + domainTypeMapEntry.getKey() + ", " + domainTypeMapEntry.getKey().getPropertyFields() + " ---" + "=
        domainTypeMapEntry.getKey().getCollect().getToSet());

    return null;
}).flatMap(entryStream -> entryStream.filter(domainTypeMapEntry ->
    domainTypeMapEntry != null)
        .collect(Collectors.groupingBy(o -> o.getKey(),
                                      Collectors.toMap(o -> o.getValue(), Collectors.toSet())));

/**
* Creates TypeVerticies from the each Map contained contained within the set of each
* @param instanceData
* @return
*/
private Map<DomainType, Map<String, TypeVertex>> createTypeVertecies(Map<DomainType,
    Set<Map<String, Set<String>>> instanceData) {
    return instanceData.getEntrySet().parallelStream().map(domainTypeSetEntry ->
        domainTypeSetEntry.getKey().getCollect().stream().map(stringSetMap ->
            try {
                return new TypeVertex(domainTypeSetEntry.getKey(), stringSetMap);
            } catch (TypeVertex.InvalidDataSetException e) {
                System.err.println("Could not create entity for data set :"+e);
            }
            return null;
        )
            .flatMap(typeVertexStream -> typeVertexStream)
            .filter(typeVertex -> typeVertex != null)
            .collect(Collectors.groupingBy(o -> o.getDomainType(), Collectors.toMap(o ->
                                      o.getIdentifier(), o -> o)));}
```

/**
 * Extracts unique entries from the data source based on the identifier of
 * each entityDefinition.
 * @return
 */
private Map<DomainType, Map<String, TypeVertex>> GetEntities() {
    Map<AbstractDataSource, Set<DomainType>> dataSources = getDataSourceToDomainTypesMap();
    long t = System.currentTimeMillis();
    Map<DomainType, Set<Map<String, String>>> domainTypeData =
        extractDomainTypeData(dataSources);
    Map<DomainType, Set<String>> joinedDomainTypeDataSets =
        joinDataSetsOnID(domainTypeData);
    Map<DomainType, Map<String, TypeVertex>> entities =
        createTypeVertecies(joinedDomainTypeDataSets);

    /**
     * Connect instances.
     * Note: It may occure that specific entities have not been created because their data
     * was ignored at input level.
     * E.g if rows defining shops are ignored if the shops are "closed", foreign keys
     * referencing this shop can not be
     * resolved.
     */
    entities.entrySet().stream().forEach(entityDefinitionMapEntry -> {
        entityDefinitionMapEntry.getValue().entrySet().stream().forEach(instanceEntry -> {
            instanceEntry.getValue().getForeignIds().entrySet().stream().forEach(foreignKeyEntry -> {
                TypeVertex connected = entities.get(foreignKeyEntry.getKey()).get(foreignKey);
                if (connected != null) {
                    connected.addConnectedInstance(instanceEntry.getValue());
                    instanceEntry.getValue().addConnectedInstance(connected);
                } else {
                    System.err.println(" Failed to find " + foreignKeyEntry.getKey() + "--->" +
                        foreignKey);
                }
            });
        });
    });
    long t1 = System.currentTimeMillis();
    System.out.println("Graph creation finished: " + (t1 - t));
    return entities;
}

Code Snippet 8: Source-code of the DomainDefinition class.

Snippet ?? shows the source code of the DomainDefinition which is responsible for extracting graphs from source files based on the meta information provided by the users. Since this is the core part of the graph extraction application, it has been extensively tested (95% test coverage). Since it makes use of Java’s parallel
E Code snippets streams for transforming the input files to vertexes representing business entities, the application scales well with additional CPU-cores (Extracting 18772 vertices and 342578 edges between them takes about 8 seconds on an Intel Core i7 with 2.5ghz and 4 cores).

E.3 WorkerActor implementation

```java
public class WorkerActor<Value> extends AbstractActor {

    private final QueryContainer<?, ?, Value, ?> builder;

    private final Integer currentIndex;

    private final String id, parentId;

    private final ActorRef parent;

    private final ActorRef master;

    private final ActorRef databaseActor;

    private Set<ActorRef> children;

    private final Class currentType;

    private final JsonNode document;

    public static <Value, Result> Props props(
        ActorRef master,
        ActorRef parent,
        ActorRef databaseActor,
        QueryContainer<?, ?, Value, ?> builder,
        Integer currentIndex,
        String id, String parentId) {
        return Props.create(WorkerActor.class, databaseActor, builder, currentIndex, id,
            parent, master);
    }

    public WorkerActor(
        ActorRef databaseActor,
        QueryContainer<?, ?, Value, ?> builder,
        Integer currentType, String id, String parentId, ActorRef parent, ActorRef master) {
        this.databaseActor = databaseActor;
    }
}```
```java
this.builder = builder;
this.currentIndex = currentIndex;
this.id = id;
this.parentId = parentId;
this.parent = parent;
this.master = master;
this.currentType = builder.getPath().get(currentIndex);
```

```java
/**
 * Defines the behaviour of the actor for received Messages
 * @return
 */
@override
public Receive createReceive() {
    return receiveBuilder()
        .match(StartProcessing.class, this::handleStartProcessing)
        .match(DatabaseResult.class, this::handleDatabaseResult)
        .match(WorkerFinished.class, this::handleWorkerFinished)
        .match(RecursionControlResponse.class, this::handleRecursionControlResponse)
        .build();
}
```

```java
/**
 * Handles StartProcessing message.
 * Tells the DatabaseActor to extract the entity associated with the id
 * provided in the constructor.
 * @param message
 */
private void handleStartProcessing(StartProcessing message) {
    String typeName = currentType.getSimpleName();
    String nextIdField = null;
    if (currentIndex + 1 < builder.getPath().size()) {
        nextIdField = builder.getPath().get(currentIndex + 1).getSimpleName();
    }
    databaseActor.tell(DatabaseRequest.create(typeName, id, nextIdField, getSelf()), getSelf());
}
```

```java
/**
 * Handles DatabaseResults.
 * If the result is empty, the actor is finished.
 * If the entity wrapped in the retrieved json files has multiple parents of
 * the previous type in the query path, the actor send a RecursionControlRequest
 * to the QueryMaster who decides whether the entity must be processed.
 * Otherwise, this actor send a self message to start processing.
 * @param
 */
private void handleDatabaseResult(DatabaseResult message) {
    String json = message.getDocument();
    final JsonNode jsonDoc;
    if (json == null) {
```
try {
    jsonDoc = Util.MAPPER.readTree(json);
} catch (IOException e) {
    e.printStackTrace();
    master.tell(e, getSelf());
    return;
}

if (jsonDoc == null) {
    finished();
    return;
}

this.document = jsonDoc;
List<String> parentIds = getParentIds(document);

/**
 * Ask the recursion controller for permission to start recursion if the
 * vertex has multiple parent vertices of the previous type in the query path.
 * The RecursionController will deny the processing of this vertex if it has been
 * processed before.
 */
if (parentIds.size() > 1) {
    master.tell(new RecursionControlRequest(builder.getPath().get(currentIndex), id, self()), getSelf());
} else {
    self().tell(new RecursionControlResponse(true), getSelf());
}

/**
 * Handles WorkerFinished messages.
 * If all children are finished, the actor send a WorkerFinished message to its parent.
 * @param message
 */
private void handleWorkerFinished(WorkerFinished message) {
    getContext().stop(getSender());
    if (children.isEmpty()) {
        finished();
        return;
    }
    children.remove(message.getRef());
    Optional<ActorRef> first = children.stream().findFirst();
    if (!first.isPresent()) {
        finished();
        return;
    }
    //ENABLE FOR SEQUENTIAL DFS !!!!
    //    children.stream().findFirst().get().tell(new StartProcessing(), getSelf());
}

/**
* Handles RecursionResponses.
* If the request is denied, the recursion must not start. Since there is nothing
* to do, the actor is finished.
* @param message
*/
private void handleRecursionControlResponse(RecursionControlResponse message) {
    if (message.permitted()) {
        startRecursion();
    } else {
        finished();
    }
}

/**
* Tells the parent actor that the work of this actor is complete.
*/
private void finished() {
    parent.tell(new WorkerFinished(getSelf(), builder, id), getSelf());
}

/**
* Returns a list of string foreign ids contained in the idField of the provided document.
* @param document
* @param idField
* @return
*/
private List<String> getChildIds(JsonNode document, String idField) {
    if (document.has(idField)) {
        List<String> ids = new ArrayList<>();
        ArrayNode array = (ArrayNode) document.get(idField);
        array.forEach(jsonNode -> ids.add(jsonNode.asText()));
        return ids;
    } else {
        return Collections.emptyList();
    }
}

/**
* Instantiate an object of the provided model class from the JsonNode.
* @param document
* @param type
* @return
* @throws IOException
*/
static Object instanciate(JsonNode document, Class type) throws IOException {
    return Util.MAPPER.readValue(document.toString(), type);
}

/**
* Returns the foreign ids of the parent vertecies from the previous
* index of the path.
* @param document
* @return
private List<String> getParentIds(JsonNode document) {
    if (currentIndex == 0) {
        return Collections.emptyList();
    }
    List<String> ids = new ArrayList<>();
    ArrayNode array = (ArrayNode) document.get(builder.getPath().get(currentIndex - 1).getSimplePath());
    array.forEach(jsonNode -> ids.add(jsonNode.asText()));
    return ids;
}

/**
 * Executes user provided filters on vertices of a the currentType (if available)
 * @param document
 * @param currentType
 * @return True if no filter is available or the current vertex passes the filter test.
 */
private boolean executeFilter(JsonNode document, Class currentType) {
    Object model = null;
    Function<Object, Boolean> documentBooleanFunction = builder.getFilter(currentType);
    if (documentBooleanFunction == null) {
        return true;
    }
    try {
        model = instanciate(document, currentType);
    } catch (IOException e) {
        master.tell(e, getSelf());
        return false;
    }
    return documentBooleanFunction.apply(model);
}

/**
 * Applies the Function or BiFunction for the current type on the extracted entity.
 */
private Value executeValueFunctions() throws IOException {
    Function<Object, Value> documentValueFunction = builder.getDocumentValueFunction(currentType);
    if (documentValueFunction != null) {
        Object model = instanciate(document, currentType);
        return documentValueFunction.apply(model);
    }
    BiFunction<Object, MetaContainer, Value> biFunction = builder.getEntityBiFunction(currentType);
    if (biFunction != null) {
        Object model = instanciate(document, currentType);
        MetaContainer metaContainer = null;
        if (currentIndex > 0) {
            Class parentClass = builder.getPath().get(currentIndex - 1).getSimplePath();
            metaContainer = new MetaContainer(parentClass, parentId);
        }
        return biFunction.apply(model, metaContainer);
    }
    return null;
private void startRecursion() {
    /*
    Filter
    */
    if (!executeFilter(document, currentType)) {
        finished();
        return;
    }
    /*
    Extract value
    */
    try {
        Value value = null;
        value = executeValueFunctions();
        if (value != null) {
            master.tell(new ValueMessage<>(builder, id, value), getSelf());
        }
    } catch (IOException e) {
        e.printStackTrace();
    }
    /*
    Check for sub-query
    */
    if (currentIndex == builder.getPath().size() - 1) {
        Set<? extends QueryContainer<?, ?, Value, ?>> childQueries = builder.getSubQueries(currentType);
        if (childQueries != null) {
            /*
            Get the next type to be extracted from the the paths of each sub-query.
            Create new actors with the sub-query as argument for each child id.
            */
            children = childQueries.stream().map(subQuery -> {
                Class nextType = subQuery.getPath().get(1);
                List<String> childIds = getChildIds(document, nextType.getSimpleName());
                return childIds.stream().map(childId ->
                    getContext().actorOf(WorkerActor.props(master, self(),
                        databaseActor, subQuery,
                        1, clientId, id)));
            }).flatMap(actorRefStream -> actorRefStream).collect(Collectors.toSet());
        } else {
            /*
            Create actors for a children of the next type in the query path.
            */
            List<String> childIds = getChildIds(document, builder.getPath().get(currentIndex + 1).getSimpleName());
            this.children = childIds.parallelStream().map(nextId -> {
                ActorRef recursion = getContext().actorOf(WorkerActor.props(master, self(),
                    databaseActor,
                    builder, currentIndex + 1, nextId, id));
                return recursion;
            }).collect(Collectors.toSet());
        }
    } else {
        /*
        Check for sub-query
        */
        if (currentIndex == builder.getPath().size() - 1) {
            Set<? extends QueryContainer<?, ?, Value, ?>> childQueries = builder.getSubQueries(currentType);
            if (childQueries != null) {
                /*
                Get the next type to be extracted from the the paths of each sub-query.
                Create new actors with the sub-query as argument for each child id.
                */
                children = childQueries.stream().map(subQuery -> {
                    Class nextType = subQuery.getPath().get(1);
                    List<String> childIds = getChildIds(document, nextType.getSimpleName());
                    return childIds.stream().map(childId ->
                        getContext().actorOf(WorkerActor.props(master, self(),
                            databaseActor, subQuery,
                            1, clientId, id)));
                }).flatMap(actorRefStream -> actorRefStream).collect(Collectors.toSet());
            } else {
                /*
                Create actors for a children of the next type in the query path.
                */
                List<String> childIds = getChildIds(document, builder.getPath().get(currentIndex + 1).getSimpleName());
                this.children = childIds.parallelStream().map(nextId -> {
                    ActorRef recursion = getContext().actorOf(WorkerActor.props(master, self(),
                        databaseActor,
                        builder, currentIndex + 1, nextId, id));
                    return recursion;
                }).collect(Collectors.toSet());
            }
        }
    }
}
if (children == null) {
    finished();
    return;
}
children.parallelStream().forEach(actorRef -> actorRef.tell(new StartProcessing(), getSelf()));
//switch to use sequential dfs:
//children.stream().findFirst().get().tell(new StartProcessing(), getSelf());